

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,828	11/13/2003	Takashi Aketa	0171-1038P	7856
2292	7590 04/19/200	5	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH	ZIMMER, MARC S		
PO BOX 747				
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-		1712	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				N
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/705,828	AKETA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Marc S. Zimmer	1712	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Friend for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replipation for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status	•			
2a)□ T 3)□ S	tesponsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{13 \ N}$ his action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This ince this application is in condition for allowald losed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	•	
Dispositio	n of Claims			
4a 5) □ C 6) □ C 7) ☒ C 8) □ C Application 9) □ Th	ne specification is objected to by the Examine ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	or election requirement. er. eepted or b) objected to		
	pplicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Ao a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document. Certified copies of the priority document. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau ethe attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s	•	" .	(070 443)	
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Io(s)/Mail Date 11/13/03.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/705,828 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Objections

Claims 1-3 are objected to for all of the following reasons:

- (i) claim 1 begins with the phrase "In connection with". It is clear that this portion of the claim was extracted verbatim from the disclosure and this phrase should be eliminated so that claim 1 begins with "A process..."
- the second step is recited passively so as to not really articulate an affirmative step. It is suggested that "to be applied" in lines 7 and 8 of the claim be deleted and the word "applying" be added at the beginning of line 7.
- (iii) claims 2 and 3 do not properly further limit claim 1 as the latter is directed to a process whereas claims 2 and 3 recite a silicone rubber composition. It is acknowledged that Applicant is attempting merely to further limit the rubber composition used as a sealer along the periphery but the proper way of doing this would be to rewrite these claims as process claims while still incorporating all of the subject matter outlined therein. Applicant might consider rewriting claim 2 as, for example, "the process of claim 1 wherein the silicone rubber for use as a sealer comprises....."

For clarity, Applicant should specify which of the two silicone rubbers is being better defined by claims 2 and 3.

Claims Analysis

For the purpose of evaluating the instant invention against the prior art, it has been presumed that the "first" silicone rubber, that which lines the inside of the fabric pieces that are mated to form the air bag, may be constituted of any known

Application/Control Number: 10/705,828

Art Unit: 1712

silicone elastomer-forming materials as the original disclosure doesn't appear to place any limitations on this aspect of the invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3 would be allowable if amended to overcome the claim objections set forth *supra*. Applicant furnishes a reference, JP 2001-001854 that mirrors the instant invention in most respects but does not represent an anticipation for the reason that an equivalent silicon rubber sealer is not used around the periphery. Kobayashi, U.S. Patent # 5,106,933 teaches a adhesive silicone composition similar to that disclosed in claim 2 but there is no particular motivation to replace the adhesive agent described by the Japanese document with that taught by Kobayashi insofar as Kobayashi mentions an improved adhestion towards, plastics, phenol resins, and epoxy resins whereas the adhesive employed in JP 2001-001854 would be adhering two silicone-coated surfaces. JP 7-003164 also discloses a composition similar to that in claim 2 but the composition is formulated to have good adhesion to surfaces coated with rust-preventative oil. Again, there would seem to be nothing motivating the skilled artisan to replace the adhesive agent described by JP 2001-001854 with that disclosed in JP 7-003164 A.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1712

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 18, 2005

Mul Jemmes More Zimmer AU 1711